

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RONALD CARPENTER, JR.,
Plaintiff(s),
v.
GORDON DENNY, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:23-cv-00208-RFB-NJK

Order

[Docket Nos. 24, 25]

Pending before the Court are expert disclosures filed by Allstate. Docket Nos. 24, 25. Discovery-related documents must be served on the affected party, not filed on the docket, unless ordered by the Court. Local Rule 26-8; Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d)(1). No such order has been entered in this case. In addition, Allstate has filed the above documents without redacting personal identifying information. *See* Docket No. 25 at 34 (full date of birth).¹ By publicly disclosing such information in their filing, counsel for Allstate violated the Federal Rules and the local rules. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(a); Local Rule IC 6-1(a).

Accordingly, the Court **STRIKES** the above-referenced documents. The Court instructs the parties to refrain from filing discovery documents on the docket in the future absent a Court order that they do so. The Court also warns counsel that they may be sanctioned for failing to redact personal identifying information in the future.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 9, 2023



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ The Court has not combed through the entirety of these filings to locate any and all instances of personal identifying information. It is the job of counsel, not the Court, to identify and redact personal identifying information. Local Rule IC 6-1(c).